

Artificial Intelligence in EP: Current State and Future Promise

How smart is the ECG?

Konstantinos Siontis, MD Cardiac Electrophysiologist & Assistant Professor of Medicine

Mayo Clinic Rochester, MN United States



Disclosure

Relationships with commercial interests:

- Grants/Research Support: None
- Speakers Bureau/Honoraria: None
- Consulting Fees: None
- Other: Co-inventor of an AI ECG algorithm for detection of HCM that is licensed to Anumana, Inc.

17-NOV-1979 (39 yr) Ve Male PR QR Room: QT Loc:1 P-I	ent. rate 76 t interval 156 RS duration 100 T/QTc 376/423 R-T axes 74 76	BPM ms ms 63	Estimated age: Probability male: Estimated EF: Probability of low EF: Probability of undetected AF: Probability of HCM:	37.3 yrs 98.6% 58.1% 0.3% 0.2% 0.1%
--	--	-----------------------	--	--



35M PRESENTS AFTER HIS SISTER DIES SUDDENLY



AI ECG OUTPUT:

Positive for low EF (76% probability of having Low EF)

Echocardiogram EF:18%

Found to have familial cardiomyopathy

Seeing beyond human interpretation....3 examples

Low ejection fraction



DETECTION OF LOW EJECTION FRACTION





Area under curve of EF

AI ECG = 0.93

Validated In other populations

Nat Med 2019 JACC sup 2020

VALIDATION IN OTHER CLINICAL SETTINGS

- Emergency Department (pts present with dyspnea)
- Cardiac Critical Care Unit



Circ AE 2020

EHJ ACC 2020

CONSISTENT MODEL PERFORMANCE ACROSS RACIAL GROUPS





CHRONOLOGIC VS PHYSIOLOGIC AGE



Circ AE 2019

PROGRESSION OF ECG AGE OVER TIME....



REAL AGE

Circ AE 2019

Hypertrophic Cardiomyopathy

2



HYPERTROPHIC CARDIOMYOPATHY







HCM: MODEL PERFORMANCE



JACC 2020

HCM: SUBGROUP PERFORMANCE

Group	Sensitivity	Specificity	Odds Ratio	OR (95% CI)
Overall	87 (534/612)	90 (11562/12788	3) 📫 🛶	64.6 (50.5-82.5)
Sex				
Male	87 (301/346)	90 (6518/72662)	⊢● 1	58.6 (42.5-80.9)
Female	88 (233/266)	91 (5044/5526)	⊢ ●1	73.9 (50.7-107.7)
Age (<u>vrs</u>)				
<40	95 (108/114)	92 (1636/1787)	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	→195.0 (84.3-451.2)
40-49	90 (92/102)	91 (1546/1693)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	96.8 (49.3-189.9)
50-59	90 (125/139)	92 (2627/2868)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	97.3 (55.2-171.7)
60-69	84 (112/133)	91 (3130/3452)	⊢●	51.8 (32.1-83.8)
70-79	80 (83/104)	89 (2151/2412)	⊢● →↓	32.6 (19.8-53.5)
≥80	70 (14/20)	82 (472/576)	●	10.6 (4-28.2)
ECG character	istics			
LVH	97 (263/271)	68 (805/1184)	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	69.8 (34.2-142.6)
Normal ECG	93 (25/27)	87 (361/417)		→ 80.6 (18.6-349.6)
Normal ECG	93 (25/27)	87 (361/417)	0 60 120 180 240	→ 80.6 (18.6-349.6) 300

Results in genotyped patients?

- With sarcomeric mutation (n=286): 97% (IQR 80-99%), 3.5% false neg
- Without sarcometic mutation (n=574): 96% (IQR 70-99%), 8% false neg

JACC 2020

CLINICAL CASE: 25-YEAR-OLD WOMAN WITH HCM



72.6% probability of HCM!



JACC 2020

POST-OP: PATIENT UNDERGOES SEPTAL MYECTOMY



ECG becomes more 'abnormal' but now Al calculates a 2.5% probability of HCM!



3

Atrial fibrillation



©2021 Mayo Foundation for Medical Education and Research | slide-18

ATRIAL FIBRILLATION

- Often fleeting
- Sometimes asymptomatic
- Can have major consequences: Stroke



CURRENT MEANS FOR ASYMPTOMATIC AF DETECTION

1³/₄ Inches Implantable loop recorder is placed under the skin Approximate size of implantable loop recorder 02016 MAYO

ATRIAL FIBRILLATION RISK



ATRIAL FIBRILLATION RISK



Lancet 2019

CASE: COULD AI HAVE PREVENTED A STROKE?



HRCR 2019

PROPOSED RCT: TREATMENT/STROKE PREVENTION



TRANSLATION TO PRACTICE



EAGLE: Cluster-randomized, pragmatic design



Nature Med 2021 (in press)

Clinicaltrials.gov NCT04000087



FLOW DIAGRAM/ENROLLMENT



Nature Med 2021 (in press)

PRIMARY FINDINGS

- The intervention <u>increased the diagnosis of low EF</u> in the overall cohort (1.6% vs. 2.1%, odds ratio [OR] 1.32 [1.01-1.61], p=0.007)
- Clinicians in the intervention group obtained more echocardiograms for patients with + AI-ECG (38.1% control vs. 49.6% intervention, p<0.001)
 - Overall echocardiogram utilization was similar (18.2% vs. 19.2%, p=0.17)

OVERALL DIAGNOSTIC YIELD





©2021 Mayo Foundation for Medical Education and Research | slide-30

medicine

ARTICLES https://doi.org/10.1038/s41591-021-01335-4

Check for updates

Artificial intelligence-enabled electrocardiograms for identification of patients with low ejection fraction: a pragmatic, randomized clinical trial

Xiaoxi Yao^{1,2}, David R. Rushlow³, Jonathan W. Inselman¹, Rozalina G. McCoy^{1,4}, Thomas D. Thacher³, Emma M. Behnken⁵, Matthew E. Bernard³, Steven L. Rosas⁶, Abdulla Akfaly⁷, Artika Misra⁸, Paul E. Molling⁹, Joseph S. Krien¹⁰, Randy M. Foss¹¹, Barbara A. Barry¹, Konstantinos C. Siontis², Suraj Kapa², Patricia A. Pellikka¹², Francisco Lopez-Jimenez², Zachi I. Attia¹², Nilay D. Shah¹, Paul A. Friedman¹² and Peter A. Noseworthy¹²



EHR INTEGRATION FOR SITE-LESS PRAGMATIC RCT

BATCH ENROLLMENT FOR AN ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE-GUIDED INTERVENTION TO LOWER NEUROLOGIC EVENTS IN PATIENTS WITH UNDIAGNOSED ATRIAL FIBRILLATION (BEAGLE) (NCT04208971)



PILOT STUDY: EHR INTEGRATION FOR SITE-LESS PRAGMATIC RCT

BATCH ENROLLMENT FOR AN ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE-GUIDED INTERVENTION TO LOWER NEUROLOGIC EVENTS IN PATIENTS WITH UNDIAGNOSED ATRIAL FIBRILLATION (BEAGLE) (NCT04208971)



CASE EXAMPLE:

- Retired MD with diabetes, HTN, and chronic kidney disease
- 30 NSR ECGs at Mayo Clinic





TRANSLATION TO PRACTICE: GETTING RESULTS TO PATIENTS AND CLINICIANS





AI DASHBOARD









Probabilty of AF/silent AF

Complete ECG

Median Beats





Compare ECGs		Print Report OD Download		Download Resu	sults				
ECG Date	Main Rhythm	Heart Rate	QT/QTc	Real Age	ECG Age	P of Male (%)	P of Low EF (%)	P of AF (%)	P of HCM (%)
09/19/2019 5:38 AM	Atrial flutter	116	326/453	92.202739726	72.0	17.42%	0.59%	65.63%	0.01%
09/18/2019 8:03 PM	Sinus tachycardia	109	356/477	92.2	79.7	16.35%	0.93%	43.52%	0.01%
07/31/2019 8:35 AM	Normal sinus rhythm	79	426/488	92.0712328767	79.7	7.77%	0.39%	92.94%	0.03%
07/30/2019 6:50 AM	Sinus rhythm	92	398/492	92.0684931507	75.7	7.55%	1.87%	78.84%	0.22%
03/10/2014 5:37 PM	Normal sinus rhythm	69	434/465	86.6712328767	81.5	1.04%	1.11%	65.15%	0.10%
03/08/2007 9:08 AM	Normal sinus rhythm	63	456/466	79.6657534247	72.9	0.57%	0.75%	10.56%	0.18%
)3/01/2006 9:25 AM	Normal sinus rhythm	69	436/463	78.6493150685	74.9	2.94%	1.77%	10.28%	0.08%
01/26/2004 9:19 AM	Normal sinus rhythm	63	448/454	76.5506849315	75.3	2.99%	0.63%	10.71%	0.18%
01/13/2003 9:50 AM	Normal sinus rhythm	68	436/460	75.5150684932	75.0	0.13%	0.57%	1.75%	0.35%

CONCLUSIONS



Clinical utility with current workflow



Predict and detect disease

•

Massively scalable



Driving practice innovation

Proprietary and confidential. Do not distribute.



Thank You!

Siontis.konstantinos@mayo.edu

